

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,490 01/22/2002		Walter Prikoszovich	100-7664F/C5 6537		
1095	7590	03/15/2005		EXAMINER	
NOVARTI		I I CCTUAL DD ODI	WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
ONE HEAL		LLECTUAL PROPI ZA 104/3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080				1617	
				DATE MAILED: 03/15/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/054,490	PRIKOSZOVICH, WALTER				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Edward J. Webman	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	LIC OFT TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH!	S) EDOM				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De	ecember 2004.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 52-73 is/are pending in the application	1.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>53,55 and 57</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>52,54,56 and 58-73</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)[_] -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-192.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed of the attached of the	or the certified copies not receive	tu.				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) X Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/03, 8/17/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

The election of species requirement over polymers in the paper filed 11/4/04 is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 52, 54, 56, 59-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodmer et al in view of Shalaby et al '659.

Bodmer et al teaches a matrix comprising octreotide (abstract) Lingar polylactide – coglycolides of MW 25K-100K and a polydispersity of 1.2-2 are disclosed (column 8 lines 1-4). Star polymers are specified (column 8, line 35). Esters with glucose are disclosed (abstract). Lactide –glycolide ration of 60:40 – 40:60 are specified (column 8 lines 14-20).

Shalaby et al '659 teaches purification of polylactide – Glycolide polymers with activated charcoal (column 13 Examples).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to treat the polylactide Glycolide polymer of Bodmer et al with activated charcoal in view of the teaching of Shalaby et al '659 that such treatment purifies polylactide glycolide copolymers.

As to the claimed concentration of divalent on residue, it is argued that such a concentration must be realized because the treatment used to produce the obvious composition is the same as that claimed.

No claims allowed.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Webman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 Am 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Webman/LR February 17, 2005

FRACE CONSULTA